CGO Abundance Poll (Oct 2022)

For more details and analysis: thecgo.org/research/abundance-poll/

Birth time recommendation

Q: If you could recommend when someone be born so that person would have a thriving and fulfilling life, when do you recommend they be born?

	All	Gen		A.	Age		Employr	ment Status		Incom	ne			Educ	ation		
Birth time recommendation	All	Male	Female	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	Working	Not Working	Under \$30k	\$30K-\$59,999	\$60K-\$99,999	\$100K+	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad	Post Gra
200 years ago	17%	16%	18%	14%	19%	18%	16%	17%	17%	17%	22%	18%	12%	22%	14%	17%	6%
Right now	25%	28%	23%	31%	28%	20%	24%	30%	21%	25%	23%	23%	33%	20%	27%	29%	35%
200 years in the future	16%	18%	14%	24%	16%	13%	12%	16%	16%	16%	18%	16%	14%	15%	17%	14%	19%
Not sure	42%	39%	45%	31%	37%	49%	48%	37%	46%	42%	37%	44%	40%	43%	42%	40%	40%
Unweighted N	1000	483	517	191	273	326	210	465	535	278	213	192	185	364	313	204	119
		Political Leanings			Party ID			Political I	Interest			Region				Urban/Rural	
Birth time recommendation	Liberal	Moderate*	Conservative	Democrat	Independent**	Republican	Most of the time	Some of the time	Only now and then	Hardly at all	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Urban	Suburban	Rural
200 years ago	10%	17%	23%	10%	18%	24%	19%	10%	17%	24%	14%	21%	14%	20%	13%	14%	28%
Right now	25%	25%	26%	28%	21%	29%	28%	27%	22%	23%	26%	28%	26%	21%	26%	25%	19%
200 years in the future	17%	17%	13%	18%	16%	11%	15%	17%	19%	15%	18%	16%	15%	15%	18%	15%	10%
Not sure	47%	41%	39%	44%	45%	36%	38%	46%	42%	37%	42%	35%	44%	44%	43%	46%	43%
Unweighted N	304	429	267	380	390	230	450	255	151	97	172	202	385	241	263	490	154
		*w not sure			**w other/not sure												

Technological innovation good/bad

Q: Do you think technological innovation is mainly a good thing or a bad thing for the US?

	All	Geno	der		l l	Age		Employn	nent Status		Incom	ne			Educ	ation	
Technological innovation good/bad	All	Male	Female	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	Working	Not Working	Under \$30k	\$30K-\$59,999	\$60K-\$99,999	\$100K+	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad	Post Grad
Very good	27%	34%	20%	25%	30%	24%	30%	29%	25%	21%	30%	24%	37%	24%	24%	32%	37%
Somewhat good	48%	46%	50%	49%	49%	46%	49%	49%	47%	48%	44%	54%	52%	45%	50%	50%	49%
Somewhat bad	15%	13%	17%	16%	14%	17%	13%	14%	16%	18%	17%	14%	7%	17%	16%	13%	10%
Very bad	3%	2%	4%	1%	2%	5%	2%	3%	3%	4%	3%	3%	2%	4%	2%	3%	0%
Not sure	7%	5%	9%	9%	5%	8%	6%	5%	9%	9%	6%	5%	2%	10%	8%	2%	5%
Unweighted N	999	483	516	191	272	326	210	465	534	278	212	192	185	364	312	204	119
Technological innovation good/bad	Liberal	Political Leanings Moderate*	Conservative	Democrat	Party ID Independent**	Republican	Most of the time	Political I	Only now and then	Hardly at all	Northeast	Region Midwest	South	West	Urban	Urban/Rural Suburban	Rural
Very good	35%	25%	22%	35%	22%	24%	36%	23%	18%	15%	34%	23%	26%	27%	26%	31%	22%
Somewhat good	49%	47%	49%	49%	47%	49%	44%	57%	52%	44%	45%	52%	52%	41%	50%	47%	40%
Somewhat bad	10%	15%	20%	7%	18%	21%	14%	11%	18%	27%	14%	15%	13%	19%	14%	13%	23%
Very bad	2%	3%	4%	1%	5%	2%	3%	3%	1%	6%	5%	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%	7%
Not sure	5%	11%	5%	9%	8%	4%	3%	7%	10%	8%	3%	8%	8%	9%	8%	7%	8%
Unweighted N	304	428	267	380	389	230	450	255	151	97	172	202	384	241	263	490	153
		*w not sure			**w other/not sure												

Technological innovation help/hurt

Q: Over the past 100 years, like any country, the US has faced a fair number of problems. Over the coming 100 years, the same is likely to be true. Do you think technological innovation will help or hurt the ability of people in the US to deal with those problems?

	All	Gend	der		А	lge		Employn	nent Status		Incon	ne			Educ	ation	
Technological innovation help/hurt	All	Male	Female	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	Working	Not Working	Under \$30k	\$30K-\$59,999	\$60K-\$99,999	\$100K+	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad	Post Grad
Hurt	20%	22%	19%	11%	19%	26%	23%	20%	21%	16%	24%	24%	19%	21%	19%	23%	18%
Neither hurt nor help	25%	23%	27%	25%	27%	24%	26%	25%	26%	31%	25%	23%	22%	28%	26%	18%	24%
Help	39%	42%	36%	47%	39%	34%	41%	43%	36%	37%	37%	39%	51%	33%	40%	47%	48%
Not sure	15%	12%	18%	18%	15%	17%	11%	13%	17%	16%	13%	14%	8%	18%	16%	12%	9%
Unweighted N	1000	483	517	191	273	326	210	465	535	278	213	192	185	364	313	204	119
		Political Leanings			Party ID			Political I	nterest			Region				Urban/Rural	
Technological innovation help/hurt	Liberal	Moderate*	Conservative	Democrat	Independent**	Republican	Most of the time	Some of the time	Only now and then	Hardly at all	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Urban	Suburban	Rural
Hurt	12%	18%	31%	11%	23%	29%	25%	16%	17%	23%	19%	23%	21%	19%	14%	18%	31%
Neither hurt nor help	22%	28%	23%	24%	26%	25%	20%	26%	34%	29%	22%	24%	26%	27%	26%	25%	26%
Help	49%	38%	31%	49%	34%	33%	44%	44%	30%	34%	44%	38%	38%	39%	42%	43%	28%
Not sure	17%	15%	14%	15%	17%	13%	12%	14%	19%	14%	15%	15%	15%	15%	18%	14%	15%
Unweighted N	304	429	267	380	390	230	450	255	151	97	172	202	385	241	263	490	154
		*w not sure			**w other/not sure												

Institutions helping/hurting the opportunity for a prosperous future

Q: Are each of the following helping or hurting the future prosperity of the US?

	All	Gene	der		A	Age		Employn	nent Status		Incom	e			Educa	ation	
Institutions helping/hurting — Congress	All	Male	Female	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	Working	Not Working	Under \$30k	\$30K-\$59,999	\$60K-\$99,999	\$100K+	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad	Post Grad
Hurting	59%	58%	61%	51%	48%	67%	70%	58%	60%	49%	58%	67%	65%	56%	60%	63%	66%
Neither helping nor hurting	21%	24%	17%	24%	30%	15%	15%	22%	19%	25%	24%	15%	17%	22%	22%	18%	19%
Helping	9%	10%	8%	12%	13%	6%	5%	11%	7%	10%	8%	9%	10%	7%	11%	9%	10%
Not sure	11%	8%	14%	14%	9%	12%	10%	9%	13%	16%	9%	8%	8%	16%	7%	10%	6%
Unweighted N	1000	483	517	191	273	326	210	465	535	278	213	192	185	364	313	204	119
		Political Leanings			Party ID			Political I	nterest			Region				Urban/Rural	
Institutions helping/hurting — Congress	1.961																Rural
	Liberal	Moderate*	Conservative	Democrat	Independent**	Republican	Most of the time	Some of the time	Only now and then	Hardly at all	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Urban	Suburban	Ruidi
Hurting	57%	Moderate* 54%	Conservative 70%	Democrat 48%	Independent** 65%	Republican 68%	Most of the time 71%	Some of the time 60%	Only now and then 45%	Hardly at all 49%	Northeast 60%	Midwest 67%	South 57%	West 57%	Urban 50%	62%	70%
										,							
Hurting	57%	54%	70%	48%	65%	68%	71%	60%	45%	49%	60%	67%	57%	57%	50%	62%	70%
Hurting Neither helping nor hurting Helping Not sure	57% 23%	54% 23%	70% 14%	48% 24%	65% 19%	68% 18%	71% 16%	60% 20% 10% 10%	45% 34%	49% 25%	60% 17%	67% 19%	57% 23%	57% 21%	50% 26%	62% 20%	70% 15%
Hurting Neither helping nor hurting Helping	57% 23% 9%	54% 23% 9%	70% 14% 8%	48% 24% 15%	65% 19% 4%	68% 18% 8%	71% 16% 10%	60% 20% 10%	45% 34% 4%	49% 25% 8%	60% 17% 13%	67% 19% 6%	57% 23% 8%	57% 21% 9%	50% 26% 11%	62% 20% 8%	70% 15% 6%

Institutions helping/hurting the opportunity for a prosperous future Q: Are each of the following helping or hurting the future prosperity of the US?

	All	Geno	der			Age		Employn	nent Status		Incon	ne			Educ	ation	
Institutions helping/hurting — the president	All	Male	Female	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	Working	Not Working	Under \$30k	\$30K-\$59,999	\$60K-\$99,999	\$100K+	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad	Post Grad
Hurting	47%	49%	45%	38%	38%	51%	59%	44%	49%	42%	47%	55%	41%	52%	44%	42%	43%
Neither helping nor hurting	25%	26%	23%	33%	32%	21%	12%	28%	21%	25%	28%	19%	27%	22%	27%	27%	21%
Helping	20%	18%	22%	17%	24%	19%	21%	20%	20%	20%	18%	18%	28%	13%	22%	24%	32%
Not sure	9%	6%	11%	12%	6%	9%	8%	8%	9%	13%	7%	8%	4%	12%	7%	7%	5%
Unweighted N	1000	483	517	191	273	326	210	465	535	278	213	192	185	364	313	204	119
		Political Leanings			Party ID			Political I	nterest			Region				Urban/Rural	
Institutions helping/hurting — the president	Liberal	Moderate*	Conservative	Democrat	Independent**	Republican	Most of the time	Some of the time	Only now and then	Hardly at all	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Urban	Suburban	Rural
Hurting	22%	40%	79%	14%	54%	81%	51%	45%	42%	54%	45%	54%	47%	43%	33%	48%	63%
Neither helping nor hurting	33%	28%	11%	32%	26%	13%	21%	26%	36%	19%	25%	21%	24%	28%	33%	26%	14%
Helping	36%	21%	4%	44%	9%	3%	27%	22%	9%	10%	23%	20%	19%	20%	21%	19%	16%
Not sure	9%	11%	5%	10%	11%	3%	2%	8%	13%	18%	7%	5%	10%	10%	12%	7%	7%
Unweighted N	304	429	267	380	390	230	450	255	151	97	172	202	385	241	263	490	154
		*w not sure			**w other/not sure												
	All	Geno	ier		,	Age		Employn	nent Status		Incon	ie .			Educ	ation	
Institutions helping/hurting — businesses	All	Male	Female	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	Working	Not Working	Under \$30k	\$30K-\$59,999	\$60K-\$99,999	\$100K+	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad	Post Grad
Hurting	23%	20%	25%	25%	26%	20%	19%	20%	25%	27%	18%	23%	21%	19%	24%	27%	23%
Neither helping nor hurting	30%	30%	30%	27%	25%	32%	35%	28%	32%	26%	34%	27%	29%	32%	28%	30%	30%
Helping	38%	42%	34%	37%	41%	37%	34%	44%	32%	36%	39%	41%	42%	36%	39%	37%	40%
Not sure	10%	8%	12%	10%	8%	11%	11%	8%	11%	10%	9%	10%	7%	13%	9%	6%	6%
Unweighted N	1000	483	517	191	273	326	210	465	535	278	213	192	185	364	313	204	119
		Political Leanings			Party ID			Political I	nterest			Region				Urban/Rural	
Institutions helping/hurting — businesses	Liberal	Moderate*	Conservative	Democrat	Independent**	Republican	Most of the time	Some of the time	Only now and then	Hardly at all	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Urban	Suburban	Rural
										040/	000/						18%
Hurting	37%	19%	14%	26%	25%	15%	27%	20%	15%	21%	22%	19%	24%	23%	23%	23%	
Hurting Neither helping nor hurting		19% 32%	14% 31%	26% 29%	25% 31%	15% 31%	27% 28%	20% 30%	15% 37%	21% 33%	22% 28%	19% 35%	24% 29%	23% 28%	23% 26%	23% 31%	39%
	37%																
Neither helping nor hurting	37% 27%	32%	31%	29%	31%	31%	28%	30%	37%	33%	28%	35%	29%	28%	26%	31%	39%
Neither helping nor hurting Helping	37% 27% 25%	32% 38%	31% 49%	29% 33%	31% 35%	31% 47%	28% 40%	30% 39%	37% 39%	33% 33%	28% 41%	35% 37%	29% 37%	28% 37%	26% 41%	31% 37%	39% 29%

Institutions helping/hurting the opportunity for a prosperous future Q: Are each of the following helping or hurting the future prosperity of the US?

	All	Geno	der		А	ige		Employn	nent Status		Incon	ne			Educ	ation	
Institutions helping/hurting — colleges and universities	All	Male	Female	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	Working	Not Working	Under \$30k	\$30K-\$59,999	\$60K-\$99,999	\$100K+	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad	Post Grad
Hurting	28%	33%	24%	19%	19%	33%	43%	27%	30%	20%	28%	38%	31%	27%	27%	32%	31%
Neither helping nor hurting	25%	23%	27%	29%	26%	25%	20%	25%	25%	29%	27%	19%	18%	26%	27%	18%	27%
Helping	37%	35%	39%	42%	45%	32%	31%	39%	35%	40%	34%	36%	42%	31%	39%	46%	36%
Not sure	10%	10%	10%	10%	11%	10%	7%	9%	10%	11%	11%	7%	8%	16%	6%	4%	6%
Unweighted N	1000	483	517	191	273	326	210	465	535	278	213	192	185	364	313	204	119
		Political Leanings			Party ID			Political I	nterest			Region				Urban/Rural	
Institutions helping/hurting — colleges and universities	Liberal	Moderate*	Conservative	Democrat	Independent**	Republican	Most of the time	Some of the time	Only now and then	Hardly at all	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Urban	Suburban	Rural
Hurting	13%	20%	54%	10%	32%	48%	41%	25%	20%	12%	30%	28%	30%	25%	24%	30%	39%
Neither helping nor hurting	23%	29%	21%	25%	23%	27%	18%	27%	36%	33%	23%	30%	20%	31%	25%	22%	29%
Helping	56%	36%	20%	54%	33%	19%	38%	37%	40%	37%	36%	36%	39%	35%	42%	37%	25%
Not sure	8%	14%	5%	11%	11%	6%	3%	12%	5%	19%	11%	7%	11%	9%	8%	10%	7%
Unweighted N	304	429	267	380	390	230	450	255	151	97	172	202	385	241	263	490	154
		*w not sure			**w other/not sure												

Group most to blame for the lack of new affordable infrastructure

Q: Which group do you think is most to blame for the lack of new affordable infrastructure in the US?

Group most to blame for the lack of new affordable	All	Male	Female	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	Working	Not Working	Under \$30k	\$30K-\$59,999	\$60K-\$99,999	\$100K+	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad	Post Grad
infrastructure	7.111	marc	remaie	10 25	50 44	-15 0-1	03.	Working.	1101 1101111116	OTIGET #50K	4301(433,333	4001(433,333	\$100it	115 01 2055	Joine conege	conege araa	1 050 0100
Congress	19%	18%	20%	13%	13%	21%	28%	18%	20%	19%	18%	17%	22%	16%	19%	19%	27%
The President	15%	13%	16%	12%	15%	13%	19%	15%	15%	15%	21%	15%	8%	21%	12%	10%	9%
Business	7%	8%	7%	12%	11%	5%	2%	9%	7%	7%	4%	7%	15%	7%	5%	11%	9%
Trade unions	4%	6%	1%	5%	3%	3%	5%	4%	3%	4%	1%	7%	3%	3%	4%	3%	4%
City governments	4%	4%	4%	3%	4%	4%	3%	4%	4%	5%	3%	4%	3%	4%	3%	5%	2%
State governments	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
The federal government	31%	31%	31%	29%	31%	33%	29%	32%	30%	28%	33%	27%	32%	30%	34%	28%	32%
Something else	11%	10%	13%	15%	12%	11%	7%	8%	14%	12%	12%	12%	7%	12%	9%	11%	13%
Unweighted N	999	483	516	190	273	326	210	465	534	277	213	192	185	363	313	204	119
		Political Leanings			Party ID			Political I	Interest			Region				Urban/Rural	
Group most to blame for the lack of new affordable																	
infrastructure	Liberal	Moderate*	Conservative	Democrat	Independent**	Republican	Most of the time	Some of the time	Only now and then	Hardly at all	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Urban	Suburban	Rural
Congress	28%	19%	10%	27%	17%	9%	26%	16%	10%	13%	15%	17%	21%	19%	18%	21%	23%
The President	4%	15%	24%	5%	13%	30%	12%	12%	21%	22%	16%	18%	15%	10%	13%	14%	15%
Business	13%	9%	1%	11%	8%	2%	8%	10%	7%	6%	8%	4%	7%	10%	10%	6%	4%
Trade unions	3%	2%	6%	3%	4%	5%	3%	5%	1%	7%	3%	4%	4%	4%	2%	3%	5%
City governments	3%	4%	4%	5%	3%	2%	3%	4%	6%	2%	5%	3%	3%	4%	6%	3%	3%
State governments	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.70	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0
The federal government	26%	28%	39%	22%	33%	40%	32%	34%	31%	24%	34%	33%	29%	31%	26%	34%	33%
Something else	14%	14%	5%	15%	12%	4%	6%	9%	14%	20%	12%	12%	13%	8%	12%	10%	13%
Unweighted N	303	429	267	380	389	230	450	254	151	97	172	202	384	241	262	490	154
	000	*w not sure	201		**w other/not sure	200	400	201	101	0.	2	202	001		LUL	400	101

Employment Status

Income

Education

US government protecting the environment

Q: In general, is the US state and federal government doing too little or too much to protect the environment?

	All	Gend	er			Age		Employr	nent Status		Incom	пе			Educ	ation	
US government protecting the environment — state	All	Male	Female	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	Working	Not Working	Under \$30k	\$30K-\$59,999	\$60K-\$99,999	\$100K+	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad	Post Grad
government	All	Male	remale	16-29	30-44	45-64	05*	WOIKING	NOT WORKING	Officer \$50K	\$300-\$39,999	\$000-\$99,999	\$100K+	up or ress	Some Conege	College Grad	POSt Grad
Too little	44%	40%	49%	51%	47%	42%	38%	48%	42%	44%	48%	42%	48%	38%	49%	51%	44%
Neither too little nor too much	32%	34%	31%	32%	30%	32%	37%	30%	35%	33%	33%	35%	30%	39%	28%	29%	27%
Too much	14%	18%	10%	9%	14%	16%	17%	15%	13%	10%	12%	17%	16%	12%	14%	14%	23%
Not sure	9%	8%	10%	8%	9%	10%	8%	7%	10%	13%	7%	7%	6%	12%	9%	6%	6%
Unweighted N	1000	483	517	191	273	326	210	465	535	278	213	192	185	364	313	204	119
		Political Leanings			Party ID			Political I	nterest			Region				Urban/Rural	
US government protecting the environment — state																	
government	Liberal	Moderate*	Conservative	Democrat	Independent**	Republican	Most of the time	Some of the time	Only now and then	Hardly at all	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Urban	Suburban	Rural
Too little	70%	45%	20%	59%	45%	24%	45%	50%	45%	38%	44%	46%	44%	44%	43%	46%	40%
Neither too little nor too much	21%	32%	44%	27%	29%	44%	30%	31%	35%	34%	30%	35%	35%	28%	33%	32%	33%
Too much	3%	13%	26%	7%	15%	23%	21%	9%	13%	8%	15%	12%	12%	19%	13%	15%	17%
Not sure	6%	10%	10%	7%	11%	9%	3%	10%	7%	20%	11%	6%	9%	9%	10%	8%	11%
Unweighted N	304	429	267	380	390	230	450	255	151	97	172	202	385	241	263	490	154
		*w not sure			**w other/not sure												
	All	Gend	er		ı	Age		Employr	nent Status		Incom	пе			Educ	ation	
US government protecting the environment — federal government	All	Gend Male	er Female	18-29	30-44	Age 45-64	65+	Employr Working	nent Status Not Working	Under \$30k	Incom \$30K-\$59,999	s60K-\$99,999	\$100K+	HS or Less	Educ.	ation College Grad	Post Grad
				18-29 53%		_	65+ 44 %			Under \$30k			\$100K+	HS or Less			Post Grad 49%
federal government	All	Male 45% 21%	Female		30-44 53% 23%	45-64		Working	Not Working 46% 22%	50% 22%	\$30K-\$59,999 52% 22%	\$60K-\$99,999			Some College	College Grad 53% 18%	
federal government Too little Neither too little nor too much Too much	All 49% 20% 23%	Male 45% 21% 28%	Female 52% 20% 18%	53% 25% 13%	30-44 53% 23% 16%	45-64 45% 18% 28%	44% 16% 34%	Working 52% 19% 22%	Not Working 46% 22% 24%	50% 22% 16%	\$30K-\$59,999 52% 22% 20%	\$60K-\$99,999 43% 21% 30%	52% 17% 28%	42% 24% 22%	Some College 54% 19% 19%	College Grad 53% 18% 25%	49% 14% 33%
federal government Too little Neither too little nor too much Too much Not sure	All 49% 20% 23% 8%	Male 45% 21% 28% 6%	Female 52% 20% 18% 10%	53% 25% 13% 9%	30-44 53% 23% 16% 8%	45-64 45% 18% 28% 9%	44% 16% 34% 6%	Working 52% 19% 22% 7%	Not Working 46% 22% 24% 9%	50% 22% 16% 12%	\$30K-\$59,999 52% 22% 20% 6%	\$60K-\$99,999 43% 21% 30% 6%	52% 17% 28% 3%	42% 24% 22% 11%	54% 19% 19% 8%	College Grad 53% 18% 25% 4%	49% 14% 33% 4%
federal government Too little Neither too little nor too much Too much	All 49% 20% 23%	Male 45% 21% 28%	Female 52% 20% 18%	53% 25% 13%	30-44 53% 23% 16%	45-64 45% 18% 28%	44% 16% 34%	Working 52% 19% 22%	Not Working 46% 22% 24%	50% 22% 16%	\$30K-\$59,999 52% 22% 20%	\$60K-\$99,999 43% 21% 30%	52% 17% 28%	42% 24% 22%	Some College 54% 19% 19%	College Grad 53% 18% 25%	49% 14% 33%
federal government Too little Neither too little nor too much Too much Not sure	All 49% 20% 23% 8%	Male 45% 21% 28% 6%	Female 52% 20% 18% 10%	53% 25% 13% 9%	30-44 53% 23% 16% 8%	45-64 45% 18% 28% 9%	44% 16% 34% 6%	Working 52% 19% 22% 7%	Not Working 46% 22% 24% 9% 535	50% 22% 16% 12%	\$30K-\$59,999 52% 22% 20% 6%	\$60K-\$99,999 43% 21% 30% 6%	52% 17% 28% 3%	42% 24% 22% 11%	54% 19% 19% 8% 313	College Grad 53% 18% 25% 4%	49% 14% 33% 4%
federal government Too little Neither too little nor too much Too much Not sure	All 49% 20% 23% 8% 1000	Male 45% 21% 28% 6% 483	Female 52% 20% 18% 10% 517	53% 25% 13% 9% 191	30-44 53% 23% 16% 8% 273	45-64 45% 18% 28% 9% 326	44% 16% 34% 6% 210	Working 52% 19% 22% 7% 465	Not Working 46% 22% 24% 9% 535	50% 22% 16% 12% 278	\$30K-\$59,999 52% 22% 20% 6% 213	\$60K-\$99,999 43% 21% 30% 6% 192 Region	52% 17% 28% 3% 185	42% 24% 22% 11% 364	54% 19% 19% 8% 313	53% 18% 25% 4% 204	49% 14% 33% 4% 119
federal government Too little Neither too little nor too much Too much Not sure Unweighted N	All 49% 20% 23% 8%	Male 45% 21% 28% 6% 483	Female 52% 20% 18% 10%	53% 25% 13% 9%	30-44 53% 23% 16% 8% 273	45-64 45% 18% 28% 9%	44% 16% 34% 6%	Working 52% 19% 22% 7% 465	Not Working 46% 22% 24% 9% 535	50% 22% 16% 12%	\$30K-\$59,999 52% 22% 20% 6%	\$60K-\$99,999 43% 21% 30% 6% 192	52% 17% 28% 3%	42% 24% 22% 11%	54% 19% 19% 8% 313	College Grad 53% 18% 25% 4% 204	49% 14% 33% 4%
federal government Too little Neither too little nor too much Too much Not sure Unweighted N US government protecting the environment — federal government Too little	All 49% 20% 23% 8% 1000	Male 45% 21% 28% 6% 483	Female 52% 20% 18% 10% 517	53% 25% 13% 9% 191	30-44 53% 23% 16% 8% 273	45-64 45% 18% 28% 9% 326	44% 16% 34% 6% 210	Working 52% 19% 22% 7% 465	Not Working 46% 22% 24% 9% 535	50% 22% 16% 12% 278	\$30K-\$59,999 52% 22% 20% 6% 213	\$60K-\$99,999 43% 21% 30% 6% 192 Region	52% 17% 28% 3% 185	42% 24% 22% 11% 364	54% 19% 19% 8% 313	53% 18% 25% 4% 204	49% 14% 33% 4% 119
federal government Too little Neither too little nor too much Too much Not sure Unweighted N US government protecting the environment — federal government	All 49% 20% 23% 8% 1000	Male 45% 21% 28% 6% 483 Political Leanings Moderate*	Female 52% 20% 18% 10% 517	53% 25% 13% 9% 191	30-44 53% 23% 16% 8% 273 Party ID	45-64 45% 18% 28% 9% 326	44% 16% 34% 6% 210	Working 52% 19% 22% 7% 465 Political I	Not Working 46% 22% 24% 9% 535 nterest Only now and then	50% 22% 16% 12% 278	\$30K-\$59,999 52% 22% 20% 6% 213	\$60K-\$99,999 43% 211% 30% 6% 192 Region Midwest	52% 17% 28% 3% 185	42% 24% 22% 11% 364	54% 19% 19% 8% 313	College Grad 53% 18% 25% 4% 204 Urban/Rural Suburban	49% 14% 33% 4% 119
federal government Too little Neither too little nor too much Too much Not sure Unweighted N US government protecting the environment — federal government Too little	All 49% 20% 23% 8% 1000 Liberal	Male 45% 21% 28% 6% 483 Political Leanings Moderate*	Female 52% 20% 18% 10% 517	53% 25% 13% 9% 191 Democrat 64%	30-44 53% 23% 16% 8% 273 Party ID Independent**	45–64 45% 18% 28% 9% 326 Republican 23%	44% 16% 34% 6% 210 Most of the time	Working 52% 19% 22% 7% 465 Political I Some of the time 52%	Not Working 46% 22% 24% 9% 535 nterest Only now and then 50%	50% 22% 16% 12% 278 Hardly at all	\$30K-\$59,999 52% 22% 20% 6% 213 Northeast 51%	\$60K-\$99,999 43% 21% 30% 6% 192 Region Midwest 51%	52% 17% 28% 3% 185 South	42% 24% 22% 11% 364 West 48%	54% 19% 19% 8% 313	College Grad 53% 18% 25% 4% 204 Urban/Rural Suburban 52%	49% 14% 33% 4% 119
federal government Too little Neither too little nor too much Too much Not sure Unweighted N US government protecting the environment — federal government Too little Neither too little nor too much Too much Not sure	All 49% 20% 23% 8% 1000 Liberal 76% 14% 4% 5%	Male 45% 21% 28% 6% 483 Political Leanings Moderate* 50% 23% 17%	Female 52% 20% 18% 10% 517 Conservative 21% 23% 49% 7%	53% 25% 13% 9% 191 Democrat 64% 23% 6% 6%	30-44 53% 23% 16% 8% 273 Party ID Independent** 51% 14% 24%	45-64 45% 18% 28% 9% 326 Republican 23% 25% 45% 7%	44% 16% 34% 6% 210 Most of the time 50% 14% 34% 34%	Working 52% 19% 22% 7% 465 Political I Some of the time 52% 25% 15% 8%	Not Working 46% 22% 24% 9% 535 nterest Only now and then 50% 24% 17% 9%	50% 22% 16% 12% 278 Hardly at all 41% 25% 17%	\$30K-\$59,999 52% 22% 20% 6% 213 Northeast 51% 19% 21% 9%	\$60K-\$99,999 43% 211% 30% 6% 192 Region Midwest 51% 20% 24% 5%	52% 17% 28% 3% 185 South 47% 22% 24% 8%	42% 24% 22% 11% 364 West 48% 20% 22% 10%	54% 19% 19% 8% 313 Urban 46% 26% 20% 8%	College Grad 53% 18% 25% 4% 204 Urban/Rural Suburban 52% 18% 23% 8%	49% 14% 33% 4% 119 Rural 38% 16% 38% 7%
federal government Too little Neither too little nor too much Too much Not sure Unweighted N US government protecting the environment — federal government Too little Neither too little nor too much Too much	All 49% 20% 23% 8% 1000 Liberal 76% 14% 4%	Male 45% 21% 28% 6% 483 Political Leanings Moderate* 50% 23% 17%	Female 52% 20% 18% 10% 517 Conservative 21% 23% 49%	53% 25% 13% 9% 191 Democrat 64% 23% 6% 6% 380	30-44 53% 23% 16% 8% 273 Party ID Independent** 51% 14% 24%	45-64 45% 18% 28% 9% 326 Republican 23% 25% 45% 7% 230	44% 16% 34% 6% 210 Most of the time 50% 14% 34%	Working 52% 19% 22% 7% 465 Political I Some of the time 52% 25% 15%	Not Working 46% 22% 24% 9% 535 nterest Only now and then 50% 24% 17%	50% 22% 16% 12% 278 Hardly at all 41% 25% 17%	\$30K-\$59,999 52% 22% 20% 6% 213 Northeast 51% 19% 21%	\$60K-\$99,999 43% 21% 30% 6% 192 Region Midwest 51% 20% 24%	52% 17% 28% 3% 185 South 47% 22% 24%	42% 24% 22% 11% 364 West 48% 20% 22%	54% 19% 19% 8% 313 Urban 46% 26% 20%	College Grad 53% 18% 25% 4% 204 Urban/Rural Suburban 52% 18% 23%	49% 14% 33% 4% 119 Rural 38% 16% 38%

Energy production

Q: What is the likelihood that some country in the next 50 years will produce energy so cheaply that it is close to free?

	All	Gend	ler		A	lge		Employr	ment Status		Incom	ie			Educ	ation	
Energy production	All	Male	Female	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	Working	Not Working	Under \$30k	\$30K-\$59,999	\$60K-\$99,999	\$100K+	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad	Post Grad
Very likely	15%	16%	15%	13%	15%	16%	15%	17%	14%	14%	18%	19%	13%	12%	17%	16%	20%
Somewhat likely	30%	34%	27%	39%	36%	23%	27%	31%	30%	32%	31%	26%	35%	30%	29%	35%	26%
Not very likely	30%	25%	35%	28%	26%	35%	30%	30%	31%	33%	29%	29%	29%	29%	31%	33%	29%
Not at all likely	24%	25%	23%	20%	23%	25%	29%	22%	26%	21%	23%	26%	23%	29%	23%	16%	25%
Unweighted N	1000	483	517	191	273	326	210	465	535	278	213	192	185	364	313	204	119
		Political Leanings			Party ID			Political I	Interest			Region				Urban/Rural	
Energy production	Liberal	Moderate*	Conservative	Democrat	Independent**	Republican	Most of the time	Some of the time	Only now and then	Hardly at all	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Urban	Suburban	Rural
Very likely	17%	15%	14%	19%	12%	15%	18%	11%	16%	11%	12%	16%	18%	14%	17%	13%	16%
Somewhat likely	36%	32%	22%	36%	32%	21%	25%	36%	36%	34%	35%	22%	30%	35%	35%	30%	21%
Not very likely	28%	31%	31%	28%	30%	34%	31%	27%	34%	34%	29%	38%	29%	28%	31%	30%	29%
Not at all likely	18%	22%	33%	17%	27%	30%	25%	25%	14%	21%	25%	25%	24%	23%	17%	27%	34%
Unweighted N	304	429	267	380	390	230	450	255	151	97	172	202	385	241	263	490	154
		*w not sure			**w other/not sure												

Allowing companies to use federal land for energy production

Q: If there was no negative environmental impact, do you think that the federal government should allow companies to use federal land for non-fossil fuel energy production?

	All	Gene	der		,	lge		Employr	ment Status		Incom	ne			Educ	ation	
Allowing companies to use federal land for energy production	All	Male	Female	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	Working	Not Working	Under \$30k	\$30K-\$59,999	\$60K-\$99,999	\$100K+	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad	Post Grad
Yes	48%	55%	41%	43%	52%	46%	53%	51%	45%	39%	50%	54%	60%	42%	50%	54%	55%
No	22%	22%	22%	23%	22%	22%	22%	22%	22%	28%	21%	19%	20%	23%	22%	20%	22%
Not sure	30%	22%	37%	34%	26%	32%	25%	26%	33%	33%	29%	27%	19%	35%	27%	27%	23%
Unweighted N	1000	483	517	191	273	326	210	465	535	278	213	192	185	364	313	204	119
		Political Leanings			Party ID			Political I	Interest			Region				Urban/Rural	
Allowing companies to use federal land for energy production	Liberal	Moderate*	Conservative	Democrat	Independent**	Republican	Most of the time	Some of the time	Only now and then	Hardly at all	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Urban	Suburban	Rural
Yes	48%	46%	51%	48%	46%	52%	57%	49%	39%	37%	51%	50%	48%	46%	47%	48%	48%
No	22%	22%	22%	22%	22%	22%	24%	21%	16%	27%	21%	22%	23%	22%	19%	23%	22%
Not sure	29%	32%	27%	30%	32%	27%	19%	30%	45%	36%	28%	28%	30%	32%	34%	29%	30%
Unweighted N	304	429	267	380	390	230	450	255	151	97	172	202	385	241	263	490	154
		*w not sure			**w other/not sure												

Balancing innovation and safety

Q: Do you think the US government does a good or bad job balancing innovation and safety?

	All					Age		Employn	nent Status		Incom	ne			Educ	ation	
Balancing innovation and safety	All	Male	Female	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	Working	Not Working	Under \$30k	\$30K-\$59,999	\$60K-\$99,999	\$100K+	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad	Post Gr
Very good job	3%	5%	2%	6%	7%	1%	1%	6%	2%	3%	3%	6%	5%	1%	5%	3%	7%
Somewhat good job	27%	29%	24%	30%	32%	21%	26%	30%	24%	28%	27%	21%	36%	24%	25%	32%	31%
Somewhat bad job	29%	25%	32%	29%	28%	30%	27%	29%	29%	28%	31%	34%	22%	30%	30%	28%	24%
Very bad job	24%	27%	21%	17%	15%	29%	32%	21%	27%	20%	24%	26%	23%	23%	23%	26%	23%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unweighted N	1000	483	517	191	273	326	210	465	535	278	213	192	185	364	313	204	119
		Political Leanings			Party ID			Political I	nterest			Region				Urban/Rural	
Balancing innovation and safety	Liberal	Moderate*	Conservative	Democrat	Independent**	Republican	Most of the time	Some of the time	Only now and then	Hardly at all	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Urban	Suburban	Rural
Very good job	4%	3%	3%	8%	1%	2%	5%	3%	1%	2%	4%	3%	2%	5%	5%	4%	1%
Somewhat good job	33%	29%	17%	38%	21%	20%	25%	33%	27%	22%	33%	28%	25%	23%	33%	24%	21%
Somewhat bad job	30%	28%	29%	25%	29%	34%	27%	32%	29%	34%	26%	29%	31%	28%	24%	31%	28%
Very bad job	16%	18%	39%	10%	30%	33%	33%	17%	17%	19%	19%	26%	25%	24%	19%	25%	36%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unweighted N	304	429	267	380	390	230	450	255	151	97	172	202	385	241	263	490	154
		*w not sure			**w other/not sure												

Government to favor innovation over safety

Q: And do you think the US government should favor innovation more or safety more?

	All	Gen	der		Į.	lge		Employn	nent Status		Incom	ne			Educ	ation	
Government to favor innovation or safety	All	Male	Female	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	Working	Not Working	Under \$30k	\$30K-\$59,999	\$60K-\$99,999	\$100K+	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad	Post Grad
Innovation	24%	33%	16%	20%	23%	24%	29%	24%	24%	16%	23%	33%	31%	18%	26%	26%	35%
Safety	56%	48%	63%	62%	60%	51%	52%	58%	54%	60%	61%	50%	51%	59%	53%	55%	52%
Not sure	20%	19%	21%	18%	17%	25%	19%	18%	22%	24%	15%	17%	18%	22%	21%	18%	13%
Unweighted N	1000	483	517	191	273	326	210	465	535	278	213	192	185	364	313	204	119
		Political Leanings			Party ID			Political I	nterest			Region				Urban/Rural	
Government to favor innovation or safety	Liberal	Moderate*	Conservative	Democrat	Independent**	Republican	Most of the time	Some of the time	Only now and then	Hardly at all	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Urban	Suburban	Rural
Innovation	12%	22%	38%	18%	21%	36%	34%	23%	16%	9%	19%	31%	21%	27%	22%	25%	26%
Safety	72%	56%	40%	65%	56%	43%	50%	58%	66%	61%	62%	51%	59%	50%	61%	53%	48%
Not sure	16%	22%	22%	17%	22%	21%	16%	19%	18%	31%	18%	18%	20%	24%	18%	22%	25%
Unweighted N	304	429	267	380	390	230	450	255	151	97	172	202	385	241	263	490	154
		*w not sure			**w other/not sure												

Familiarity with geothermal energy

Q: How familiar are you with the term geothermal energy?

	All	Gene	ler		l l	Age		Employment Status		Income				Education				
Familiarity with geothermal energy	All	Male	Female	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	Working	Not Working	Under \$30k	\$30K-\$59,999	\$60K-\$99,999	\$100K+	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad	Post Grad	
Very familiar	17%	26%	8%	12%	22%	16%	16%	22%	13%	9%	20%	22%	27%	7%	19%	27%	28%	
Somewhat familiar	39%	42%	35%	35%	39%	39%	42%	37%	40%	30%	33%	44%	51%	33%	37%	42%	53%	
Not very familiar	26%	20%	32%	25%	22%	29%	28%	25%	26%	28%	34%	20%	14%	30%	26%	23%	15%	
Have never heard of it	19%	12%	25%	27%	18%	17%	15%	17%	21%	33%	13%	14%	8%	30%	17%	8%	4%	
Unweighted N	1000	483	517	191	273	326	210	465	535	278	213	192	185	364	313	204	119	
	Political Leanings			Party ID				Political Interest			Region				Urban/Rural			
Familiarity with geothermal energy	Liberal	Moderate*	Conservative	Democrat	Independent**	Republican	Most of the time	Some of the time	Only now and then	Hardly at all	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Very familiar	20%	13%	19%	18%	15%	18%	29%	11%	3%	6%	15%	20%	15%	20%	16%	18%	19%	
Somewhat familiar	36%	40%	39%	35%	40%	41%	45%	43%	32%	23%	38%	45%	38%	35%	36%	41%	40%	
Not very familiar	26%	24%	27%	28%	23%	27%	19%	30%	35%	33%	29%	23%	27%	25%	24%	27%	22%	
Have never heard of it	18%	22%	15%	19%	22%	14%	7%	17%	30%	38%	19%	13%	21%	20%	24%	15%	18%	
Unweighted N	304	429	267	380	390	230	450	255	151	97	172	202	385	241	263	490	154	
		*w not sure			**w other/not sure													

FDA running its own review or not

Q: If countries such as Europe, Japan, Australia, and other developed countries approve the safety of a medication or medical procedure, then should it be allowed in the US without the Federal Drug Administration running its own review?

	All	Gend	ler	Age			Employment Status			Income				Education			
FDA running its own review or not	All	Male	Female	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	Working	Not Working	Under \$30k	\$30K-\$59,999	\$60K-\$99,999	\$100K+	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad	Post Grad
We should accept safety reviews from other developed countries	36%	39%	33%	39%	37%	34%	34%	38%	34%	32%	35%	41%	46%	29%	35%	44%	47%
The FDA should run US studies and not accept reviews from other developed countries	42%	44%	40%	34%	41%	43%	49%	42%	42%	39%	46%	43%	37%	40%	43%	45%	39%
Not sure	22%	17%	27%	27%	22%	23%	17%	21%	24%	29%	19%	16%	17%	30%	22%	11%	14%
Unweighted N	1000	483	517	191	273	326	210	465	535	278	213	192	185	364	313	204	119
	Political Leanings			Party ID			Political Interest			Region				Urban/Rural			
FDA running its own review or not	Liberal	Moderate*	Conservative	Democrat	Independent**	Republican	Most of the time	Some of the time	Only now and then	Hardly at all	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Urban	Suburban	Rural
We should accept safety reviews from other developed countries	39%	34%	36%	37%	32%	39%	40%	40%	32%	20%	32%	38%	36%	36%	33%	34%	38%
The FDA should run US studies and not accept reviews from other developed countries	37%	42%	46%	41%	42%	44%	45%	42%	40%	48%	42%	40%	46%	37%	38%	45%	41%
Not sure	24%	25%	18%	22%	26%	17%	15%	19%	28%	31%	25%	22%	19%	26%	28%	21%	21%
Unweighted N	304	429	267	380	390	230	450	255	151	97	172	202	385	241	263	490	154
		*w not sure			**w other/not sure												